

# Peer-to-Peer Networks 02: Napster & Gnutella

Christian Schindelhauer Technical Faculty Computer-Networks and Telematics University of Freiburg

BURG

**NI** 



- Shawn (Napster) Fanning
  - published 1999 his beta version of the now legendary Napster P2P network
  - File-sharing-System
  - Used as mp3 distribution system



- In autumn 1999 Napster has been called download of the year
- Copyright infringement lawsuit of the music industry in June 2000
- End of 2000: cooperation deal
  - between Fanning and Bertelsmann Ecommerce
- Since then Napster is a commercial file-sharing platform



## CoNe Freiburg

# How Did Napster Work?

- Client-Server
- Server stores
  - Index with meta-data
    - file name, date, etc
  - table of connections of participating clients
  - table of all files of participants
- Query
  - client queries file name
  - server looks up corresponding clients
  - server replies the owner of the file
  - querying client downloads the file from the file owning client



# A Discussion of Napster Freiburg

- Advantages
  - Napster is simple
  - Files can be found fast and effective
- Disadvantages
  - Central structure eases censorship, hostile attacks and vulnerability against technical problems
    - e.g. denial of service (DOS) attack
  - Napster does not scale
    - i.e. increasing number of participants implies a decline in performance
    - bandwidth and memory of the server is limited
- Conclusion
  - Napster is not an acceptable P2P network solution
  - Except the download part Napster is not a real P2P network

# A History of Gnutella Freiburg

- Gnutella
  - was released in March 2000 by Justin Frankel and Tom Pepper from Nullsoft
  - Since 1999 Nullsoft is owned by AOL
- File-Sharing system
  - Same goal as Napster
  - But without any central structures



- Neighbor lists
  - Gnutella connects directly with other clients
  - the client software includes a list of usually online clients
  - the clients checks these clients until an active node has been found
- an active client publishes its neighbor list
  - the query (ping) is forwarded to other nodes
  - the answer (pong) is sent back
  - neighbor lists are extended and stored
  - the number of the forwarding is limited (typically: five)





#### Protokoll

- Ping
  - participants query for neighbors
  - are forwarded according for TTL steps (time to live)
- Pong
  - answers Ping
  - is forwarded backward on the query path
  - reports IP and port adress (socket pair)
  - number and size of available files

7

Gnutella — Connecting CoNe Freiburg



8

## A Gnutella — Graph Structure Freiburg



# A Gnutella — Graph Structure Freiburg





- File Query
  - are sent to all neighbors
  - Neighbors forward to all neighbors
  - until the maximum hop distance has been reached
    - TTL-entry (time to live)
- Protocol
  - Query
    - for file for at most TTL hops
  - Query-hits
    - answers on the path backwards
- If file has been found, then initiate direct download

Gnutella — Query CoNe Freiburg





- Advantages
  - distributed network structure
  - scalable network
- Disadvantages
  - bounded breadth depth search leads to implizit network partition
  - this reduces success probability
  - long paths, slow latency
- Suggested improvements
  - random walks instead broadcasting
  - passive replication of index information



# FastTrack & Gnutella2

- Hybrid Structure
  - high bandwidth node are elected as P2P-servers, aka. super-nodes
  - super-nodes are connected using the original Gnutella protocol
  - client nodes are connected only to super-nodes
- Used in
  - FastTrack
  - Gnutella 2
- Advantages
  - improved scalabilty
  - smaller latency
- Disadvantages
  - still unreliable and slow
  - peers decline to serve as supernodes





# Peer-to-Peer Networks 02: Napster & Gnutella

Christian Schindelhauer Technical Faculty Computer-Networks and Telematics University of Freiburg

BURG

**NI**