Wireless Sensor Networks 21st Lecture 23.01.2007



University of Freiburg Computer Networks and Telematics Prof. Christian Schindelhauer Christian Schindelhauer schindel@informatik.uni-freiburg.de





Geometric Spanner Graphs

University of Freiburg Institute of Computer Science Computer Networks and Telematics Prof. Christian Schindelhauer

A Graph G = (V, E) with $V \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ where for all $u, v \in V$ there exists a path $P = (u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\ell = v)$ with





Proximity Graphs

University of Freiburg Institute of Computer Science Computer Networks and Telematics Prof. Christian Schindelhauer

> Relative Neighborhood Graph (RNG):

- There is an edge between u and v only if there is no vertex w such that d(u,w) and d(v,w) are both less than d(u,v)
- No spanners
- Small degree





Gabriel Graph (GG):

- There is an edge between u and v if there is no vertex w in the circle with diameter chord (u,v)
- Perfect (1,2)-power spanners
- No strong spanners
- Possibly high degree

Useful Structures for Multihop Networks

University of Freiburg Institute of Computer Science Computer Networks and Telematics Prof. Christian Schindelhauer

➢Global structures:

- Minimum spanning trees & minimum broadcast trees

Local structures:

- Dominating sets: distributed algorithms and tradeoffs

Hierarchical structures:

- Sparse neighborhood covers



Applications of Spanning Trees

- ➢ Forms a backbone for routing
- Forms the basis for certain network partitioning techniques
- Subtrees of a spanning tree may be useful during the construction of local structures
- Provides a communication framework for global computation and broadcasts



Arbitrary Spanning Trees

➤ Trivial algorithm:

- A designated node starts the "flooding" process
- When a node receives a message, it forwards it to its neighbors the first time
- Maintain sequence numbers to differentiate between different computations

> Properties

- Nodes can operate asynchronously
- Number of messages is O(m) ;worst-case time,
- for synchronous control, is O(Diam(G))



Minimum Spanning Trees

Centralized algorithms

- Prim's algorithm [57]
 - Increase the connected component by adding the lightest adjacent edge not in the component
- Kruskal's algorithm [56]
 - Add small weight edges (leaving out edges within a connected component)
- The basic algorithm [Gallagher-Humblet-Spira 83]
 - $O(m + n \log n)$ messages and $O(n \log n)$ time
- Improved time and/or message complexity [Chin-Ting 85, Gafni 86, Awerbuch 87]

First sub-linear time algorithm [Garay-Kutten-Peleg 93]:

- Improved to $O(D + n^{0.61} \log^* n)$

Taxonomy and experimental analysis

- [Faloutsos-Molle 96] $O(D + \sqrt{n \log^* n})$
- lower bound [Rabinovich-Peleg 00] $\Omega(D + \sqrt{n}/\log n)$



The Basic Algorithm

- Distributed implementation of Borouvka's algorithm [Borouvka 26]
- > Each node is initially a fragment
- > Fragment F_1 repeatedly finds a min-weight edge leaving it and attempts to merge with the neighboring fragment, say
 - If fragment F_2 also chooses the same edge, then merge F_2
 - Otherwise, we have a sequence of fragments, which together form a fragment





Subtleties in the Basic Algorithm

- All nodes operate asynchronously
- When two fragments are merged, we should "relabel" the smaller fragment.
- Maintain a level for each fragment and ensure that fragment with smaller level is relabeled:
 - When fragments of same level merge, level increases; otherwise, level equals larger of the two levels
- Inefficiency: A large fragment of small level may merge with many small fragments of larger levels



Asymptotic Improvements to the Basic Algorithm

l

The fragment level is set to log of the fragment size [Chin-Ting 85, Gafni 85]

– Reduces running time to $O(n \log^* n)$

> Improved by ensuring that computation in level fragment is blocked for time $O(2^{\ell})$

– Reduces running time to O(n)





A Sublinear Time Distributed Algorithm

- >All previous algorithms perform computation over fragments of MST, which may have diameter $\Omega(n)$
- > Two phase approach [GKP 93, KP 98]
 - Controlled execution of the basic algorithm, stopping when fragment diameter reaches a certain size
 - Execute an edge elimination process that requires processing at the central node of a BFS tree
- > Running time is $O(\text{Diam}(G) + \sqrt{n} \log^* n)$
- Requires a fair amount of synchronization



Minimum Energy Broadcast Routing

- Given a set of nodes in the plane, need to broadcast from a source to other nodes
- In a single step, a node may broadcast within a range by appropriately adjusting transmit power
- \succ Energy consumed by a broadcast over range ${\mathcal V}$ is proportional to
- > Problem: Compute the sequence of broadcast steps that consume minimum total energy r^{α}
 - Optimum structure is a directed tree rooted at the source



>NP-hard for general graphs, complexity for the plane still open

➢ Greedy heuristics proposed [Wieselthier et al 00]

- MST: Minimum spanning tree with edge weights equal to energy required to transmit over the edge
- SPT: Shortest path tree with same weights
- BIP: Bounded Incremental Power: Add next node into broadcast tree, that requires minimum extra power

MST and BIP have constant-factor approximation ratios

>SPT (Shortest-Path Tree) has ratio $\Omega(n)$ [Wan et al 01]

 If weights are square of Euclidean distances, then MST for any point set in unit disk is at most 12

Thank you

and thanks to Holger Karl and Rajmohan Rajamaran for some slides



University of Freiburg Computer Networks and Telematics Prof. Christian Schindelhauer Wireless Sensor Networks Christian Schindelhauer schindel@informatik.uni-freiburg.de

21st Lecture 23.01.2007