8: Transaction Model

Page Model

- All operations on data will be eventually mapped into read and write operations on pages.
- To study the concurrent execution of transactions it is sufficient to inspect the interleavings of the resulting page operations.
- Independently whether a page resides in cache memory or resides on disk, read and write are considered as indivisible.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Seite 2

Parallelism as prerequisite for distributed execution

A transaction T is a partial order $<^1$ of actions in OP, T = (OP, <), where OP is a finite set of T's actions RX and WX, where X is a data item.

Moreover, $\leq OP \times OP$ is a partial order on OP which fulfills the following properties:

- Each data item is read and written by T at most once.
- If p is a read action and q is a write actions of T and both access the same data item, then p < q.

Complete transaction

We call a transaction *complete*, if its first action is begin b and its last action either is commit c or abort a.

¹A binary relation is a partial order , if it is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive. 🚊 🔊 🔍

Distributed Systems Part 2



When transactions are depicted as directed graphs, we omit transitive edges.



 \implies Definition of a schedule? Definition of serializability?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



Locally observable schedules of the two transactions when executed in parallel by CPU PA and CPU PB

- $\begin{array}{rcl} PA: & R_1A \ W_1A \ R_2A \ W_2A \\ PB: & R_1B \ W_1B \ R_2B \ W_2B \end{array}$ (i)
- (ii) $\begin{array}{c} PA: \quad R_1A \ W_1A \ R_2A \ W_2A \\ PB: \quad R_2B \ W_2B \ R_1B \ W_1B \end{array}$

On each CPU in both cases the local schedules are serializable - however, globally, in the second case the transactions are not executed in a serializable manner!

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Histories and schedules

Let $T = \{T_1, ..., T_n\}$ be a (finite) set of complete transactions, where for each T_i we have $T_i = (OP_i, <_i)$.

A history of \mathcal{T} is a pair $S = (OP_S, <_S)$, where

- $OP_S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n OP_i$ and $\langle S \rangle$ a partial order on OP_S such that $\langle S \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle i \rangle$.
- Let $p, q \in OP_S$, where p and q belong to distinct transactions, however access the same data object. If p or q is a write action, then either $p <_S q$ or $q <_S p$; we say, p and q are in *conflict*; if $p <_S q$ and p and q are in conflict, we write $(p, q) \in conf(S)$.

A schedule of \mathcal{T} is a prefix of a history.²

Conflict graph

The conflict graph of a schedule S is given as G(S) = (V, E), where V is the set of transactions in S and the set of edges E is given by the conflicts in S: $T_i \rightarrow T_j \in E$, iff there are conflicting actions $p \in OP_i$, $q \in OP_j$ and $p <_S q$.

²A partial order L' = (A', <') is a prefix of a partial order L = (A, <), if $A' \subseteq A, <' \subseteq <$, for all $a, b \in A'$: a <' b if a < b, and for all $p \in A, q \in A'$: $p < q \Rightarrow p <' q \Rightarrow a < b \in A$, $q \Rightarrow p < c < b \in A$.

A schedule/history of the two parallel debit/credit transactions.



Serializability

- A schedule $S = (OP_S, <_S)$ is *serial*, if for any two transactions T_1, T_2 appearing in S, $<_S$ orders all actions of T_1 before all actions of T_2 , or vice versa.
- A schedule is called (conflict-)serializable,³ if there exists a (conflict-)equivalent serial schedule over the same set of transactions.
- A schedule $S = (OP_S, <_S)$ is serializable, iff its conflict graph is acyclic.

 3 We consider only conflict-serializability and therefore talk about serializability in the sequel, for short.

Distributed Systems Part 2