

Universität Freiburg Institut für Informatik Prof. Dr. G. Lausen Georges-Köhler Allee, Geb. 51 D-79110 Freiburg lausen@informatik.uni-freiburg.de

Exercises Distributed Systemes: Part 2 Summerterm 2012 29.6.2012

5. Exercise sheet: Distributed Concurrency Control and Recovery

Exercise 1

Assume a given set of transactions and let SR be the set of all serializable schedules on a centralized database. Let 2PL (TS) be the set of all schedules when applying a 2-phase locking (time stamp protocol). Which of the following statements are correct? Give proofs or counterexamples.

- SR = TS
- SR = 2PL
- $SR = 2PL \cup TS$
- 2PL = TS
- $2PL \subseteq TS$
- $TS \subseteq 2PL$
- $TS \cap 2PL = \emptyset$

Exercise 2

Prove that distributed 2PL guarantees serializability.

Exercise 3

Consider the following local schedules:

- $S_1: R_1A \quad W_1A \quad R_2A \quad W_2A$ $S_2: R_2B \quad W_2B \quad R_1B \quad W_1B$
- $S_2: R_2 B W_2 B R_1 B$ $S_1: R_1 A W_2 A$
- $S_2: R_3B \quad W_1B \quad R_2C \quad W_3C$
- $S_1: R_1A R_3A R_3B W_3A W_3B R_2B$ $S_2: R_4D W_4D R_1D R_2C R_4C W_4C$
- $S_1: W_1A \ c_1 \ R_3A \ R_3B \ c_3 \ W_2B \ c_2$ $S_2: W_2C \ c_2 \ R_4C \ R_4D \ c_4 \ W_1D \ c_1$
- (1) Verify whether or not the schedules are serializable.
- (2) Demonstrate that by applying Distributed 2PL (Timestamp Protocol) the not serializable schedules could not have occured.
- (3) Check whether or not the schedules are rigoures and commit-deferred.
- (4) Demonstrate that by applying a Ticket-based concurrency control the not serializable schedules could not have occured.

Exercise 4

(1) Give the communication topology of centralized, decentralized and linear 2PC.

(2) Give the state diagrams of decentralized and linear 2PC, in analogy to the state diagramm of centralized 2PC.

Exercise 5

Characterize centralized 2PC and linear 2PC with respect to

- (1) message and time complexity,
- $\left(2\right)\,$ possibilities of processes to become uncertain.